State Space Reductions

Pavel Moravec

State Space Reductions project group Parallel and Distributed Systems Laboratory

Seminar of ParaDiSe spring 2006



- efficient checking of p.o.r. properties for LTL model checking
- LTL model checking based on regular expressions
- distributed algorithms for SCCs decomposition

Partial Order Reduction for LTL



- $\varphi \in \mathsf{LTL-X}$: $M \models \varphi \Leftrightarrow M' \models \varphi$
- construction of M':
 - start from the initial state
 - for each reached state, explore a subset of enabled transitions

Partial Order Reduction for LTL

Conditions imposed on ample sets:

- C0: $ample(s) = \emptyset \Rightarrow enabled(s) = \emptyset$
- C1: every path in the full state space M starting at s can not have transition dependent on some transition in *ample(s)* without a transition in *ample(s)* occurring first
- C2: ample(s) has visible transition
 ⇒ ample(s) = enabled(s)
- C3: for each cycle in M' holds: it contains state where α is enabled ⇒ α is included in ample set of some state in cycle

Partial Order Reduction for LTL





Partial Order Reduction for LTL – Improvement

- in general approach, only transitions of a single process are taken as a candidate to an ample set
- if more dependencies among transitions occur, p.o.r. achieves small reduction
- an approach assuming ample sets contained transitions from different processes proposed

My goal: to propose an approach to LTL model checking which:

- doesn't rely on construction of Büchi automaton
- isn't forced to store all states
- is exploration independent

FOMLO = First Order Monadic Logic.

- formulae express properties of infinite words over finite alphabet
- example of a FOMLO formula: $f = \forall x_1 : \exists x_2 . x_2 > x_1 : x_2 \in P_a$
- LTL and FOMLO are expressively equivalent (*GFa* is equivalent to *f*)

LTL Based on Regular Expressions

SFRE = Star-free Regular Expressions.

- SFRE expressions are built from letters of an alphabet, Ø and operands ⋅, + and ¬
- Thomas (1979) has proven that FOMLO (LTL) and SFRE are expressively equivalent:
 - thus, for each FOMLO *f* there is an equivalent expression of the form ∪ U_i · V_i^ω, where U_i, V_i are SFRE
 - equivalently, for each LTL formula φ, there is an equivalent expression of the form
 E_φ = [] U_i · V_i^ω

Proposed approach: for a given model *M* and given LTL formula φ :

- find expression $E_{\neg \varphi}$
- test whether a behaviour of *M* satisfies $E_{\neg\varphi}$:
 - if so, *M* ⊭ φ and such a behaviour is a counterexample
 - if not so, $M \models \varphi$

Usage in model checking:

- LTL (property specified by GBA, Müler, or Street automaton)
- τ -confluence reduction
- probabilistic model checking (???)

Existing algorithms:

- sequential (Tarjan) efficient distribution unknown
- FWD+BWD traversal
- colouring & colour prioritising (S. Orzan)

Our (my + Jiřík's) proposals:

- based on colouring a graph from all vertices in sequence
- storing additional information to reduce the number of reachabilities to be performed
- usage of back-level edges/states
- variants with back-propagations













Our (Me + Jiřík) proposals:

- based on colouring a graph from all vertices in sequence
- storing additional information to reduce the number of reachabilities to be performed
- usage of back-level edges/states
- variants with back-propagations

Back Level Edges



Our (Me + Jiřík) proposals:

- based on colouring a graph from all vertices in sequence
- storing additional information to reduce the number of reachabilities to be performed
- usage of back-level edges/states
- variants with back-propagations

Distributed SCCs Decomposition

Results:

- algorithms based on forward traversal only: generally no great success
- improvement of FWD+BWD algorithm: significant speedup
- research still in progress